MRI research sheds new light on reflexology

Denise Rankin-Box*

A Japanese study into reflexology has made a significant breakthrough in establishing a scientific link between reflexology areas in the foot and parts of the human brain.

The research, which used functional Magnetic Resonance Imaging (fMRI) to measure the brain activity of people undergoing reflexology, is the first study of its kind and offers tantalising proof that differences in the brain can be made by stimulating specific areas of the feet.

Although reflexology is believed to be more than 4,000 years old, little is known about how the alternative therapy actually works, as Tracey Smith, Research and Development Manager at the Association of Reflexologists, explains.

“One of the major criticisms levelled at reflexology as a therapy is that there has been no proof of any route of connection between the foot and any other representative organ in the body, which is the underlying idea of reflexology,” she said.

“This research is a major step forward in enhancing our understanding of reflexology and gives a real basis to the concept that differences in the brain can be made via stimulation of the feet. Although, whether that brain activity results in a change in an organ is still a matter of further research,” Tracey adds.

The fMRI study, which took place at the University of Tohoku, investigated three reflex areas relating to the eye, shoulder and small intestine. As pressure was applied to each reflex area, the scientists were able to compare the fMRI imaging against the known region of the brain for each individual body part.

“Reflexology is based on the idea that applying pressure to specific reflexology points on the feet, hands, and ears can help eliminate stress, improve blood circulation, and restore the balance of the body,” explains Tracey.

“Although, there have been some clinical studies that have shown positive effects by reflexology on the human body, scientists remain sceptical due to the lack of proof that there is any communication between the feet and the rest of the body,” she said.

The latest study follows similar research into acupuncture using neuroimaging techniques, which established the relationship between different acupoints with corresponding functional areas in the brain.

Whilst Tracey welcomes the findings of the report, she argues that more research using neuroimaging needs to be done to examine the underlying effects of reflexology and other alternative therapies.

“Alternative therapies, such as reflexology, often receive a bad press because of a lack of medical evidence; however, this situation is changing,” she said. “Studies like this are invaluable to help counter the critics and go some way to prove that these therapies are more than just a little positive thinking.”

“Although this particular report is not enough evidence in itself, it does raise interesting questions about our understanding of the human body and shows the potential for alternative therapies to have greater influence on conventional treatment in the future,” Tracey adds.

The Association of Reflexologists (AoR) is a membership organisation offering a range of professional benefits to its members. The AoR was founded in 1984 and has expanded to more than 8000 qualified Reflexologists. The AoR is the single largest reflexology organisation of its kind in the UK. For more information, contact Tracey Smith on 0787 982 3397, or visit the website www.aor.org.uk.
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ABSTRACT

We examined the somatotopical relationship between cortical activity and sensory stimulation of reflex areas in reflexology using functional magnetic resonance imaging. Three reflex areas on the left foot, relating to the eye, shoulder, and small intestine were stimulated during the experiment. A statistical analysis showed that reflexological stimulation of the foot reflex areas corresponding to the eye, shoulder, and small intestine activated not only the somatosensory areas corresponding to the foot, but also the somatosensory areas corresponding to the eye, shoulder, and small intestine or neighboring body parts. Thus, the findings showed that reflexological stimulation induced a somatosensory process corresponding to the stimulated reflex area and that a neuroimaging approach can be used to examine the basis of reflexology effects.

© 2008 Elsevier Ireland Ltd. All rights reserved.

Reflexology is an alternative medical practice that obtains beneficial effects on the human body by applying pressure to specific points or areas on the feet, hands, and ears, which are called “reflex areas.” Each reflex area is believed to correspond to various parts of the human body or organs, and these areas are mapped on the hands and ears and soles of the feet [11]. Reflexology is considered to help eliminate stress, improve blood circulation, and restore the psychological balance of the body. Although this practice has shown positive effects on the human body in some clinical studies [10,12], how reflexology works medically is not yet fully understood. Likewise, the physiological relationships between the reflex areas and the body parts or organs have not yet been investigated.

Recently, fundamental research on alternative medical practices such as acupuncture has progressed using neuroimaging techniques. A previous neuroimaging study suggested that different areas of the somatosensory cortex were activated during stimulation of several acupoints related to different functions [4]. Moreover, Cho et al. reported that part of the visual processing areas was activated when an acupoint related to visual function was stimulated [3]. With regard to reflexology, a previous electroencephalographic (EEG) study investigated the relaxing effects of reflexological treatment [5], but whether sensory stimulation of a reflex area is perceived as stimulation in the corresponding body part by a cognitive process in the somatosensory area is unclear.

In this study, we investigated the somatotopical relationship between cortical activity in the somatosensory area and sensory stimulation of reflex areas on the foot using functional magnetic resonance imaging (fMRI). For this purpose, three reflex areas related to the eye, shoulder, and small intestine (SI) were chosen as stimulation points because these areas are separated from each other in the reflexological foot chart and the projection areas of the somatosensory cortex as defined by Penfield’s Homunculus [9].

Subjects: Twenty-five right-handed subjects (22 men and 3 women aged 18–41 years; mean age 22 years) were enrolled in this study. All participants were healthy (no signs or history of medical or neurological diseases) and were native Japanese speakers. We assessed their handedness based on the Edinburgh Handedness Inventory [8].

Written informed consent was obtained from each participant in accordance with the guidelines of Tohoku University School of Medicine and the Helsinki Final Act of 1975.

fMRI data acquisition: All fMRI measurements were carried out using a 1.5 T Siemens Magnetom Symphony scanner (Siemens, Munich, Germany) at the Research Center for Language, Brain and Cognition, Graduate School of International Cultural Studies, Tohoku University. Slices \( n = 33 \), slice thickness \( 3 \) mm, gap \( 1 \) mm) covering the entire brain were acquired by gradient-echo echo-planner (GE-EPI) MRI \( \text{repetition time (TR)} = 3000 \) ms,
echo time (TE) = 50 ms, flip angle = 90°, FOV = 192 mm × 192 mm, voxel size = 3 mm × 3 mm × 4 mm, matrix size = 64 × 64]. In addition, anatomical whole-brain images were obtained by T1-weighted three-dimensional MRI (TR = 1900 ms, TE = 3.22 ms, FA = 15°, FOV = 250 mm × 250 mm, matrix size = 256 × 256, 160 sagittal slices of 1.25 mm thickness).

**Experimental design:** Brain activity was measured using a block design consisting of three sensory stimulation tasks of the reflex areas, corresponding to the eye, shoulder, and SI. The duration of each block was 5 s and the interval between each block was 10 s; each task was repeated 15 times and a 30-s rest period was imposed before the first stimulation. Therefore, the total time of fMRI scanning was 11 min, 45 s. The order of tasks was counter-balanced among the subjects. Before the fMRI measurements, an experimenter marked the three reflex areas corresponding to the eye, shoulder, and SI on the sole of the experimenter marked the three reflex areas corresponding to the eye, shoulder, and SI on the sole of the left foot. The experimenter entered the MRI scanner room together with the subject, and the experimenter wore a pair of headphones to receive experimental instructions. In the fMRI environment, the subject was placed in a supine position in the MRI scanner. A semi-lucent screen was put in front of the subject’s face, and the subject was instructed to gaze at the fixation-cross on the screen during the fMRI measurement. The experimenter stimulated each reflex area using a wooden stick with the right hand in accordance with the auditory instructions in each block, and touched the subject’s left instep with the left hand throughout the experiment.

**Data analysis:** Image processing and statistical analysis of the fMRI data were conducted using the statistical parametric mapping software (SPM2; Wellcome Department of Cognitive Neurology, London, UK). The coordinates of all analyses were in Montreal Neurological Institute (MNI) space. The five initial scans for each subject were dummy scans to equilibrate the state of magnetization and were discarded from the time series data. The effect of head motion across the scans was corrected by realigning all scans to the first scan. The slice timing was corrected by adjusting all slices to the sixteenth slice. Then, the data were normalized spatially to the MNI-T1 template, using the T1-weighted anatomical MR image for each subject, and each scan was smoothed with a Gaussian filter in a spatial domain (10 mm full-width at half-maximum) to minimize noise and the effects of normalization errors.

The collected fMRI data were analyzed using a conventional two-stage approach. First, the hemodynamic responses to the different experimental conditions were assessed at each voxel using a general linear model on an in-subject basis. A hypothesis was made for each in-subject model in which the hemodynamic responses of the activation fields to the stimulation of the reflex areas corresponding to each eye, shoulder, and SI were assumed to be the canonical hemodynamic response function with a block length of 5-s duration. Global changes were adjusted by proportional scaling, and low-frequency confounding effects were removed using a high-pass filter with a 94-s cutoff. Multiple regression analysis was performed for each voxel to detect the regions in which the MR signal changes were correlated with the hypothesized model. To identify the specific activation associated with stimulation of each reflex area, we generated subtraction images from the parameter estimate of each condition as follows: eye-specific [(eye × 2) − (shoulder + SI)], shoulder-specific [(shoulder × 2) − (eye + SI)], and SI-specific [(SI × 2) − (eye + shoulder)] activations. In addition, a subtraction image used to evaluate the common activation for stimulating the sole of the left foot (common: eye + shoulder + SI) was also prepared.

Second, intersubject activation maps were constructed by performing a one-sample t-test on each subtraction image. Since we focused on the relationship between the stimulation of a reflex area and the activity of the corresponding somatosensory area, we used a mask image of the postcentral gyrus using the Automatic Anatomical Labeling Atlas provided by WFU_pickatlas [14]. Furthermore, to remove false-positive activation in the statistical testing of each subtraction image, we excluded the voxels that did not reach the level of significance using task versus task contrast (e.g., eye – shoulder) from each statistical test of the subtraction image. We created mask images from activation maps of task versus task contrast, such as “eye – shoulder” and “eye – SI” for the statistical test of eye-specific contrast, “shoulder – eye” and “shoulder – SI” for the statistical test of shoulder-specific contrast, and “SI – eye” and “SI – shoulder” for the statistical test of SI-specific contrast. The level of significance for each mask image was set at p < 0.05 (uncorrected). The statistical threshold of each activation map was set at p < 0.05 [corrected for multiple comparison using family-wise error (FWE)] [6] by voxel level. Finally, the resulting activation maps were constructed and superimposed on a MNI single-subject template. To evaluate the effect of sensory stimulation of different reflex areas on cognitive processes, a region of interest (ROI) analysis was performed to inspect local changes in the hemodynamic response of the observed activation peaks. We defined a ROI as the peak location of each ROI that appeared when the statistical threshold was set at p < 0.05 (corrected for multiple comparison using FWE) in the eye-specific, shoulder-specific, and SI-specific situations, and the parameter estimates of each condition were extracted. A paired t-test was performed to examine the differences in local signal changes between conditions at each ROI.

**Table 1** shows a summary of the peak locations and t-scores of activated regions in each contrast, and **Fig. 3** shows the peak position of activated clusters in each contrast. Activation in the eye-specific contrast was detected in the middle part of the left postcentral gyrus (Fig. 2A). In the case of the shoulder-specific contrast, although no significant activation occurred, the superior part of the left postcentral gyrus was activated.

**Table 1**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Area</th>
<th>x</th>
<th>y</th>
<th>z</th>
<th>T</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(A) Eye-specific (FWE p &lt; 0.05)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left postcentral gyrus</td>
<td>−60</td>
<td>−22</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>4.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Right postcentral gyrus</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>−48</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>2.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(B) Shoulder-specific (uncorrected p &lt; 0.05)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left postcentral gyrus</td>
<td>−18</td>
<td>−32</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>3.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Right postcentral gyrus</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>−44</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>5.97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(C) SI-specific (FWE p &lt; 0.05)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Right postcentral gyrus</td>
<td>−60</td>
<td>−22</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>6.86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(D) Common activated region (FWE p &lt; 0.05)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left postcentral gyrus</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>−44</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>5.97</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 1. Reflex areas for the (A) eye, (B) shoulder, and (C) small intestine.
that visceral pain for the intra-abdominal region induced significant activation in the bilateral intra-abdominal region and slight activation in the right trunk region of the somatosensory cortex [13]. Therefore, our results indicate that sensory stimulation of the reflex area corresponding to the SI was related to a tactile sensation of the trunk. In addition, its perception was not necessarily limited to visceral sensation and may include cutaneous sensation in the trunk. Furthermore, these activation clusters from the eye-specific and SI-specific contrasts were located in the left hemisphere, generally meaning that tactile information came from the right side of the body. The theory of reflexology suggests that the reflex area on the left foot reflects the left side of the body. Investigating this inconsistency of laterality will be necessary. The shoulder-specific contrast showed no significant activation, only a tendency for activation in the superior part of the right postcentral gyrus, the location of which was almost consistent with the projection of the tactile sensation to the upper limbs. The difference in the degree of local signal increase under each condition was not clarified in this study. Because this will likely depend on the condition of the subject, it will require more detailed experiments.

Regarding common activation under the various conditions, two significant activated clusters were observed: one in the superior part of the right postcentral gyrus, while the other was the same as the eye-specific activation cluster. The former cluster is related to the tactile sensation of the left foot because a tactile sensation from the lower limb projects to the superior part from the medial part of the somatosensory area. Regarding the latter activation, increased local activity under each condition was considered to have affected the contrast of the common condition. From this result, the middle part of the left postcentral gyrus may play a specific role during perceptions of reflexological stimulation of the left foot.

In conclusion, the activated area during the stimulation of each reflex area was consistent with the somatotopic representation of the corresponding or neighboring body parts in the somatosensory area. Previous fMRI studies of acupuncture revealed the somatotopic mapping of acupoints on the forearm, hand, leg and foot [4], and part of the visual processing areas, which were activated when an acupoint related to visual function was stimulated [3]. Those results indicated that reflexology had some effects that were not simply sensory stimulation. Our results support that claim and indicate that a neuroimaging approach may be a useful procedure for examining the underlying effects of this alternative medical practice.
Fig. 3. The mean parameter estimates under each condition at the activated cluster under the (A) eye-specific and (B) SI-specific conditions. The error bar shows the standard error of the mean. The * means that there is a significant difference between two conditions (p < 0.05).
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